

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/881,123

Applicant(s)

LOCASCIO ET AL.

Examiner

Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1743

All Participants:(1) Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.(2) Ross F. Hunt.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 October 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

22, 41-44 and 45-46

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

the Applicants agree with the proposed examiner's amendment, which cancels claims 45 and 46 as drawn to non-elected invention, amending claim 22 according to amendedmnt of claim 1 and changing dependency of claims 41-44 to claim 39.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)